

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,304	10/15/2004	Takao Koyama	009682-138	9491
7590 11/07/2008 Robert G Mukaii Burns Doane Swecker & Mathis PO Box 1404 Alexandria. VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>'</i>			3751	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/511,304	KOYAMA, TAKAO					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Tuan N. Nguyen</u> .	(3)						
(2) Wendi Weinstein.	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>06 November 2008</u> .							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e) □ No.  If Yes, brief description: <u>The applicant's representative presented the actual structure of the applicant's pen and the actual pen of the Kirita and Takanashi et al. references.</u>							
Claim(s) discussed: newly proposed claims.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Kirita and Takanashi et al. references.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant proposed to amend the claims to further define the ink quiding feed member to be tubular hollow without any ink capillary material therewithin. The examiner agrees that such an amendment would at least overcome the prior art rejection made in the previous office action.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
- Tuan N Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751 U.S. Peter and Tennan Office	11/6/08						